UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,405	03/16/2004	Robert Senn	PI/5-20835C/D1	5092
26748 7590 08/26/2010 SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC. PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT 410 SWING ROAD			EXAMINER	
			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
GREENSBOR(ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination SENN ET AL. Art Unit	
	Johann R. Richter	1616	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed <u>4/04/07</u> .
1. The Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F ☐ Other: 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this drunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9,17-20,and 23-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) /Johann R. Richter/.	(3) <u>Alton Pryor</u> .
(2) Robert Wax.	(4)